

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, JUNE 2, 2016
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S218861 D063394 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CASTILLOLOPEZ
(EMMANUEL)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, and Cuéllar, JJ.

S112146 **PEOPLE v. STAYNER (CARY
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 1, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S231541 **BEISER ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to error by the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed on April 29, 2016, suspending RYAN ERIC BEISER, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that RYAN ERIC BEISER, State Bar Number 270457, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. RYAN ERIC BEISER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation (with credit given for the period of his interim suspension from August 17, 2015 through November 15, 2015);
2. RYAN ERIC BEISER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 3, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RYAN ERIC BEISER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RYAN ERIC BEISER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

RYAN ERIC BEISER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If RYAN ERIC BEISER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to April 29, 2016.”

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, JUNE 2, 2016
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, Third Floor, South Tower, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, on Thursday, June 2, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger.

Officers present: Frank A. McGuire, Clerk, Jorge Navarrete, Assistant Clerk Administrator, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S221038 Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Petitioner,
 v.
 Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco,
 Respondent,
 Bracy Anderson et al., Real Parties in Interest.

Cause called. Anand Agneshwar argued for Petitioner.
Stuart B. Esner argued for Real Parties in Interest.

Mr. Agneshwar replied.
Cause submitted.

S214855 State Department of Finance et al., Plaintiffs and Respondents,
 v.
 Commission on State Mandates, Defendant and Respondent,
 County of Los Angeles et al., Real Parties in Interest and Appellants.

Cause called. Howard Gest argued for Real Parties in Interest,
County of Los Angeles et al.
Nelson Richards, Office of the Attorney General, argued for
Respondents, State Department of Finance et al.

Mr. Gest replied.
Cause submitted.

S218734 Hiroshi Horiike, Plaintiff and Appellant,
 v.
 Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage Company et al.,
 Defendants and Respondents.

[TO BE CALLED AND CONTINUED TO THE SEPTEMBER 2016 CALENDAR]

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the court and officers present as first shown.

S219534 Johnny Blaine Kesner, Jr., Petitioner,
 v.
 Superior Court of Alameda County, Respondent,
 Pneumo Abex LLC, Real Party in Interest

S219919 Joshua Haver et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,
 v.
 BNSF Railway Company, Defendant and Respondent.

CONSOLIDATED CASES

[TO BE CALLED AND CONTINUED TO THE SEPTEMBER 2016 CALENDAR]

Court adjourned.